

Serial No. 10/628,985  
Page 10

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 07 2006

### REMARKS

No claims have been amended. Claims 1–18 have been canceled, and new Claims 19–31 have been added. Claims 19–31 remain in the application. Applicant requests reconsideration of the application as amended and in light of the remarks set forth below.

#### Summary of Amendments

New Claims 19–31 have been added. Claim 19 substantially corresponds to Claim 2, now canceled, rewritten in independent form. Claim 20 substantially corresponds to Claim 4, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 19. Claim 21 substantially corresponds to Claim 5, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 19. Claim 22 substantially corresponds to Claim 6, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 19. Claim 23 substantially corresponds to Claim 7, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 19. Claim 24 substantially corresponds to Claim 8, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 19. Claim 25 includes the limitation from former Claim 10, now canceled, that the first connector is configured to establish the first pivotable connection in a generally horizontal plane.

Claim 26 substantially corresponds to Claim 3, now canceled, rewritten in independent form. Claim 27 substantially corresponds to Claim 5, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 26. Claim 28 substantially corresponds to Claim 6, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 26. Claim 29 substantially corresponds to Claim 7, now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 26. Claim 30 substantially corresponds to Claim 8,

Serial No. 10/628,985

Page 11

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL-FAX CENTER

SEP 07 2006


now canceled, amended to depend from Claim 26. Claim 31 includes the limitation from former Claim 10, now canceled, that the first connector is configured to establish the first pivotable connection in a generally horizontal plane.

**Indication of Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner has indicated that former Claims 2–8 and 11–17 are objectionable as depending upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In view of the foregoing amendments, the present application is therefore believed to be allowable.

The foregoing is believed to be fully responsive to the Office Action dated July 14, 2006. For the reasons set forth above, the present application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is requested, and allowance of the claims at an early date is courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Larry A. Roberts  
Reg. No. 31,871

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street  
Suite 2800  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530  
Tel. (404) 745-2409  
Fax (404) 541-3368

Attorney Docket: 43071-287794